

# SUMMATIVE REPORT ON SITUATION/STRATEGIES/SUPPORT INSTITUTIONS AND SPECIALISTS/BEST PRACTICES OF THE GENETIC CONSULTANCY/ ONCOGENETICS IN ROMANIA, FRANCE, BULGARIA AND HUNNGARY

Report created in the framework of the project Promoters of advanced oncogenetics open online training and multimedia raise awareness on multidisciplinary assessment of patients and their families at risk of hereditary or familial cancer, Reference number 2018-1-RO01-KA202-049189, Strategic Partnerships for vocational education and training Erasmus+ programme

1. Current epidemiological situation of cancer, and of hereditary cancer in particular (breast, ovarian, colorectal and other types).

# 1.1. Incidence

# FRANCE

In 2012, the number of cancers diagnosed in metropolitan France is estimated at 355,354 for the population over 15 years, with respectively 200,350 men and 155,004 women (1). With 56,840 new cases estimated in 2012, prostate cancer remains by far the most common cancer in men, with lung cancer (28,200 cases) and colorectal cancer (23,200 cases). With 48,800 new cases estimated in 2012, breast cancer is the most common cancer in women, with colorectal cancer (18,920 cases) and lung cancer (11,300 cases). The median age at diagnosis of any cancer is estimated at 68 years for men and 67 years for women. For breast cancer in women, the median age at diagnosis was 63 years, while for colorectal cancer it was 71 years in men and 75 years in women. Ovarian cancer is the 7th leading cause of cancer in women, with an incidence of 4,615 cases. He is diagnosed most frequently after 65 years.

The incidence of pediatric cancers (<15 years) was between 1,700 and 2,500 new cases of cancer per year between 2006 and 2010. The main localizations are leukemia (29% of cases), tumors of the central nervous system (24%) and lymphomas (11%) (1).

Between 5 and 10% of breast and ovarian cancers are secondary to hereditary predisposition (HBOC). BRCA1 and BRCA2 are the principal genes involved. 2,500 to 5,000 new HBOC cases are diagnosed each year, with approximately 21,000 cases diagnosed between 2003 and 2014 (2). Other hereditary predisposition syndromes are less frequent.





Approximately 5% of colorectal cancer cases are genetically predisposed, representing 2,000 new cases per year. Other cancers, such as paraganglioma-pheochromocytoma or medullary thyroid carcinoma, are also associated with inherited predisposition.

The following data used in this summative report are available from International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) – GLOBOCAN 2018.



Fig. 1 Estimated number of new cases of cancer, France, 2018

# ROMANIA

Analysing the estimated incidence values for the main categories of cancers developed by Romanian women in 2008, one can see a change in 2nd and 3th positions, the colorectal neoplasia climbed one step in hierarchy, and the cervical cancer recorded a slight decline. For 5th and 6th positions, ovarian cancer increased (although as numerical values, the situation has not significantly changed), and the gastric cancer decreased. (Fig. 2) [GLOBOCAN 2008]





Fig. 2. Incidence (standardized by age) for the main types of cancers developed by women, in Romania, 2008

In 2008, among women in the North-East Region of Romania, ovarian cancer had an incidence of 12.17 / 100.000 women (the 6th position) and a frequency of 5.02% of all female cancers. In the same year, in Iasi County, ovarian cancer had the 5th place (with 12,17 cases per 100,000 women), after breast cancer (50,11 cases per 100,000 women), colorectal (33,4 cases in 100,000 women), cervical (25.05 cases in 100.000 women) and lung cancer (18.37 cases per 100.000 women). According to the same source, the incidence of ovarian cancer in Iasi County in 2008 was 12,17 cases per 100,000 inhabitants, placing this county on the 4th place when we compare the incidence of ovarian cancer among the counties of the North-East region of Romania (Table I) [Gheorghiu, 2011].

Nr.crt	County	Number of new cases of ovarian cancer	Female population at 1 July 2008	Incidence (per 100.000 inhabitants)
1.	Suceava	49	357,220	13.71
2.	Botosani	31	229,260	13.52
3.	Neamt	36	286,173	12.57
4.	Iasi	51	419,113	12.17
5.	Vaslui	27	226,689	11.91
6.	Bacau	35	362,822	9.64
	North-East Region	229	1,881,277	12.17

 Table I

 The incidence of ovarian cancer in counties within the North-East Region of Romania, in 2008





Regarding to age, the same report states that the most affected women in the North-East Region of Romania were those in the 55-59 age group (17.03% of the new ovarian cancer), 75-79 years (13,10%), 70-74 years (11,35%) and 65-69 years (10,04%). 68% of the cases were diagnosed among women aged over 55 years [Gheorghiu, 2011].

In 2008, in Romania, ovarian cancer was ranked 5th among women's neoplasms with an incidence value (standardized by age) of 9.4 cases / 100,000 women.

According to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), in Romania, in 2018, the estimated total number of new cases of cancer for both sexes at all ages was 83,461 (GLOBOCAN 2018). Case distribution by location indicates that lung cancer was the most common (13.6%), followed by colorectal cancer (13.3%), breast cancer (11.5%), prostate cancer (7.2%), bladder cancer (4.7%), gastric cancer (4.2%), liver cancer (4.1%) and other cancers (41.3%) (Fig. 3). Among the other cancers that were recorded we mention: uterine cancer (2.9%) and ovarian cancer (1.6%) [GLOBOCAN 2018].



Estimated number of new cases in 2018, Romania, all cancers, both sexes, all ages

Data source: Globocan 2018 Graph production: Global Cancer Observatory (http://gco.iarc.fr)

Fig. 3. The estimated number of new cases of cancer, both sexes, all ages, in Romania (Source: \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. *Globocan cancer observatory*. Available from: http://gco.iarc.fr.)



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

(A) World Health

cy for Research on Cance



Comparing these data with those published by Straja ND et al. in 2015 one can observe the upward trend in the number of new cases for all types of cancer. For breast cancer, the number of new cases has risen from 8,981 estimated for both sexes in 2012 to 9,629 in 2018. Colorectal cancer has evolved from 10,256 new cases in 2012 to 11,076 in 2018. Uterine cancer has varied from 1,539 new cases in 2012 to 1,635 in 2018 and ovarian from 1,850 new cases in 2012 to 1,920 in 2018 (Table II) [GLOBOCAN 2018; Straja et al, 2015].

## Table II

Types of	Years	TOTAL		WOMEN		MEN	
cancer		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Broost	2018	9,629	11.5	9,629	11.5	-	-
Breast	2012	8,981	11.40	8,981	25.22	-	-
Colorectal	2018	11,076	13.3	5,020	11.51	6,056	12.13
	2012	10,256	13.02	4,496	12.63	5,760	13.35
Ovarian	2018	1,920	1.61	1,920	3.44	-	-
	2012	1,850	2.35	1,850	5.20	-	-
Uterine	2018	1,635	2.87	1,635	6.13	-	-
	2012	1,539	1.95	1,539	4.32	-	-

Variation of new cases of breast, colorectal, ovarian and uterine cancer in Romania, 2018 versus 2012 (adapted from [GLOBOCAN 2018; Straja et al, 2015])

# HUNGARY

CANCER TODAY enables a comprehensive assessment of the cancer burden worldwide in 2018, based on the GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence, mortality and prevalence for year 2018 in 185 countries or territories for 36 cancer types by sex and age group [http://gco.iarc.fr/today/home].

## Hungary





Estimated number of new cases in 2018, Hungary, all cancers, both sexes, all ages



Fig. 4. The estimated number of new cases of cancer, both sexes, all ages, in Hungary (*Source*: \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. *Globocan cancer observatory*. Available from: http://gco.iarc.fr.)

Summary statistic 2018				
	Males	Females	Both sexes	
Population	4 610 879	5 077 967	9 688 846	
Number of new cancer cases	35 281	35 173	70 454	
Age-standardized incidence rate (World)	427.1	330.6	368.1	
Risk of developing cancer before the age of 75 years (%)	63.4	49.2	54.9	
Number of cancer deaths	17 586	15 424	33 010	
Age-standardized mortality rate (World)	203.2	122.0	155.8	
Risk of dying from cancer before the age of 75 years (%)	42.9	27.7	33.6	
5-year prevalent cases	85 345	99 932	185 277	
Top 5 most frequent cancers excluding non-melanoma skin cancer	Lung	Breast	Lung	
(ranked by cases)	Colorectum	Colorectum	Colorectum	
	Prostate	Lung	Breast	
	Bladder	Corpus uteri	Prostate	
	Kidney	Cervix uteri	Bladder	

**Fig. 5** Summary statistic 2018 [*Source:* \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. *Globocan cancer observatory*. Available from: <u>http://gco.iarc.fr</u>.]

Estimated number of new cases in 2018, Hungary, females, all ages						
ICD	Cancer	Number	Uncertainty interval	Crude Rate*	ASR (World)*	Cum. risk**
C00-97	All cancers	35 173	[34435.1-35926.7]	692.7	330.6	-
C50	Breast	8 215	[7518.2-8976.4]	161.8	85.5	-
C18-21	Colorectum	4 694	Not available	92.4	36.8	-
C33-34	Lung	4 537	[4278.6-4811.0]	89.3	41.4	-
C54	Corpus uteri	1 919	[1625.9-2264.9]	37.8	18.2	-
C53	Cervix uteri	1 312	[1124.5-1530.8]	25.8	17.2	-

 Table III

 Estimated number of new cases in 2018, Hungary, females, all ages





#### HOPE - How Oncogenetics Predicts & Educates 2018-1-RO01-KA202-049189

ICD	Cancer	Number	Uncertainty interval	Crude Rate*	ASR (World)*	Cum. risk**
C56	Ovary	1 305	[1153.0-1477.0]	25.7	13.2	-
C25	Pancreas	1 233	[1116.8-1361.3]	24.3	9.1	-
C67	Bladder	1 057	[873.7-1278.8]	20.8	9.1	-
C73	Thyroid	999	[731.4-1364.6]	19.7	13.6	-
C64-65	Kidney	991	[819.8-1197.9]	19.5	8.8	-
C43	Melanoma of skin	944	[739.1-1205.7]	18.6	10.1	-
C16	Stomach	861	[751.2-986.9]	17.0	6.3	-
C82-86, C96	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	809	[634.3-1031.9]	15.9	7.4	-
C91-95	Leukaemia	686	[573.3-820.8]	13.5	7.2	-
C23-24	Gallbladder	477	[405.8-560.6]	9.4	3.2	-
C00-06	Lip, oral cavity	417	[295.7-588.0]	8.2	3.8	-
C70-72	Brain, nervous system	406	[323.7-509.2]	8.0	4.7	-
C22	Liver	317	[248.3-404.8]	6.2	2.4	-
C51	Vulva	251	[177.4-355.1]	4.9	2.0	-
C88+C90	Multiple myeloma	219	[163.3-293.7]	4.3	1.8	-
C09-10	Oropharynx	216	[160.5-290.7]	4.3	2.4	-
C32	Larynx	177	[117.8-266.0]	3.5	2.0	-
C15	Oesophagus	118	[79.2-175.8]	2.3	1.1	-
C81	Hodgkin lymphoma	93	[48.9-177.0]	1.8	1.9	-
C07-08	Salivary glands	87	[51.6-146.6]	1.7	1.00	-
C52	Vagina	68	[43.3-106.7]	1.3	0.55	-
C12-13	Hypopharynx	55	[37.0-81.8]	1.1	0.58	-
C11	Nasopharynx	39	[22.0-69.2]	0.77	0.47	-
C45	Mesothelioma	19	[10.1-35.7]	0.37	0.17	-
C46	Kaposi sarcoma	3	[1.4-6.4]	0.06	0.01	-

\* Crude and age-standardized rates per 100 000

Estimated number of new cases in 2010, flungary, mates, an ages						
ICD	Cancer	Number	Uncertainty interval	Crude Rate*	ASR (World)*	Cum. risk**
C00-97	All cancers	35 281	[34594.4-35981.2]	765.2	427.1	-
C33-34	Lung	6 467	[6158.1-6791.4]	140.3	77.4	-
C18-21	Colorectum	6 115	Not available	132.6	70.6	-
C61	Prostate	5 508	[5021.9-6041.1]	119.5	60.2	-
C67	Bladder	2 334	[1990.3-2737.0]	50.6	26.9	-
C64-65	Kidney	1 305	[1096.3-1553.4]	28.3	16.6	-
C16	Stomach	1 228	[1072.9-1405.5]	26.6	13.8	-
C25	Pancreas	1 093	[956.7-1248.7]	23.7	12.9	-
C32	Larynx	922	[788.0-1078.7]	20.0	12.0	-
C00-06	Lip, oral cavity	893	[739.8-1077.9]	19.4	11.8	-
C43	Melanoma of skin	780	[576.6-1055.2]	16.9	10.3	-
C82-86, C96	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	774	[642.5-932.4]	16.8	10.4	-

 Table IV

 Estimated number of new cases in 2018, Hungary, males, all ages



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



ICD	Cancer	Number	Uncertainty interval	Crude Rate*	ASR (World)*	Cum. risk**
C22	Liver	770	[665.5-890.9]	16.7	9.2	-
C91-95	Leukaemia	765	[648.5-902.4]	16.6	10.6	-
C15	Oesophagus	628	[509.8-773.6]	13.6	8.1	-
C62	Testis	554	[377.8-812.5]	12.0	10.7	-
C09-10	Oropharynx	518	[410.3-654.0]	11.2	7.3	-
C12-13	Hypopharynx	471	[391.8-566.3]	10.2	6.5	-
C70-72	Brain, nervous system	434	[354.0-532.1]	9.4	6.6	-
C23-24	Gallbladder	279	[222.3-350.1]	6.1	3.0	-
C88+C90	Multiple myeloma	230	[172.5-306.6]	5.0	2.7	-
C73	Thyroid	192	[120.9-305.0]	4.2	3.0	-
C81	Hodgkin lymphoma	128	[75.1-218.2]	2.8	2.7	-
C60	Penis	113	[72.5-176.0]	2.5	1.3	-
C07-08	Salivary glands	78	[51.4-118.3]	1.7	0.98	-
C11	Nasopharynx	69	[47.2-100.9]	1.5	0.98	-
C45	Mesothelioma	28	[17.0-46.1]	0.61	0.36	-
C46	Kaposi sarcoma	7	[2.7-18.3]	0.15	0.06	-

\* Crude and age-standardized rates per 100 000

## BULGARIA

It is observe the upward statistically significant trend in the number of new cancer cases for all types. Over the past 20 years, the number of newly registered cancer cases has risen by an average of 678.81 cases per year (Fig. 6).



Fig.6. Number of new cancer cases and deaths in Bulgaria (1993-2014)





According to BNCR data for cancer incidence in Bulgaria in 2015, the number of new cancer cases were 35265 and the crude incidence rate was 491.3 per 100 000 inhabitants. In men the prevalence was higher - 530/100 000, whereas in women it was 454.7/100 000 inhabitants.

The newly diagnosed breast cancer cases in females were 3988 with a crude incidence rate of 108.1 per 100 000; for ovarian cancer - 825 new cases with a crude incidence rate of 22.4/100000.

In colon cancer the crude incidence rate in males was higher as compared to that of females - 1541 cases, or  $44.2/100\ 000\ vs\ 1202$  cases, or  $32.6/100\ 000$ , respectively. The total crude incidence rate for colon cancer in Bulgaria was  $38.2/100\ 000$  for year 2015.

Breast cancer is the most common malignant disease among women. It represents 26.8 % of all malignant diseases in females. Colon cancer comes third in incidence (8.1%), ovarian cancer is fifth (5.5%). Colon cancer is third in incidence in males as well (9.5%) (Fig. 7).



Fig.7. Percentage distribution of the most common cancers in females in Bulgaria, 2015





Fig.8. Percentage distribution of the most common cancers in males in Bulgaria, 2015

Disturbing is the fact that a great part of the newly diagnosed cases were in an advanced stage. The distribution of the incidence of breast, ovarian and colon cancer by stage at diagnosis is presented in Fig.9.





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



**Fig.9.** Distribution of the newly diagnosed cases of all sites, breast, ovarian and colon cancer by stage (2015)



Fig. 10 The estimated number of new cases of cancer, both sexes, all ages, in Bulgaria (Source: \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. Globocan cancer observatory. Available from: <u>http://gco.iarc.fr</u>.)

# **1.2. Prevalence**

# FRANCE

In 2008, the 5-year partial prevalence of all cancers combined is estimated at 1.1 million people, including 583,580 men and 490,325 women (1). Nearly half of the cases are prostate cancer in men (265,360 cases, or 45%) and breast cancer in women (383,310 or 47%). Colorectal cancer accounts for nearly 10% of all cancers, with 100,240 cases in men and 90,050 cases in women.

The prevalence of individuals with a constitutional mutation in one of the BRCA genes, responsible for hereditary predisposition to breast and / or ovarian cancer (HBOC), is estimated at 2 per 1,000. Lynch syndrome is the most common hereditary predisposition to colorectal cancer, with a prevalence of between 1/800 and 1/1600 for the two main genes, MLH1 and MSH2.





Estimated number of prevalent cases (5-year) in 2018, France, all cancers, both sexes, all ages



**Fig. 11** The estimated number of prevalent cases of cancer, both sexes, all ages, in France (*Source:* \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018.

*Globocan cancer observatory*. Available from: <u>http://gco.iarc.fr</u>.)

## ROMANIA

ata source: Globocan 2018 aph production: Global Cance oservatory (http://gco.iarc.fr)

The 2008 Annual Report of the North-East Regional Registry of Cancer shows a prevalence of cancers in Iasi county of 15.08 per 100,000 inhabitants, which is below the average of the North-East Region (16 / 100,000 inhabitants) and a specific cancer mortality of 207.73 per 100,000 inhabitants, well above the average of the North-East Region (188.38 / 100,000 inhabitants) [Gheorghiu, 2011].

In 2018, IARC published for Romania an estimated number of prevalent cases (5 years) of cancer for both sexes at all ages of 12,334,517 (GLOBOCAN 2018). Case distribution by location indicates that breast cancer was the most common (16.9%), followed by prostate cancer (12.7%), colorectal cancer (11.6%), bladder cancer (5.2%), lung cancer (4.1%), skin melanoma (4%), uterine cancer (3.7%) and other cancers (41.6%) (Fig. 3). Among the other cancers that were recorded we mention: ovarian cancer with 1.6% [GLOBOCAN 2018]



cy for Research on Cancer

(A) World Health



Estimated number of prevalent cases (5-year) in 2018, Romania, all cancers, both sexes, all ages



Fig. 12. Estimated number of prevalent cases (5 years) of cancer, both sexes, all ages, in Romania (Source: \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. Globocan cancer observatory. Available from: <u>http://gco.iarc.fr</u>)

## HUNGARY

ICD	Cancer	5-year	Proportions***
C00-97	All cancers	85 345	1850.9
C61	Prostate	18 340	397.8
C18-21	Colorectum	16 143	350.1
C67	Bladder	7 261	157.5
C33-34	Lung	6 455	140.0
264-65	Kidney	3 300	71.6
232	Larynx	2 826	61.3
200-06	Lip, oral cavity	2 641	57.3
243	Melanoma of skin	2 501	54.2
262	Testis	2 392	51.9
C82-86, C96	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	2 217	48.1
C91-95	Leukaemia	2 082	45.2
216	Stomach	1 739	37.7
209-10	Oropharynx	1 697	36.8
270-72	Brain, nervous system	1 123	24.4
212-13	Hypopharynx	796	17.3
225	Pancreas	669	14.5
273	Thyroid	669	14.5
215	Oesophagus	668	14.5
C88+C90	Multiple myeloma	563	12.2
222	Liver	536	11.6



## Estimated number of prevalent cases in 2018, Hungary, males, all ages



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



ICD '	Cancer	5-year	Proportions***
C81	Hodgkin lymphoma	517	11.2
C60	Penis	358	7.8
C23-24	Gallbladder	304	6.6
C11	Nasopharynx	214	4.6
C07-08	Salivary glands	200	4.3
C45	Mesothelioma	31	0.67
C46	Kaposi sarcoma	18	0.39

\*\*\* Proportions per 100 000

#### Table VI

#### Estimated number of prevalent cases in 2018, Hungary, females, all ages

ICD	Cancer	5-year	Proportions***
C00-97	All cancers	99 932	1968.0
C50	Breast	31 217	614.8
C18-21	Colorectum	13 064	257.3
C54	Corpus uteri	6 874	135.4
C33-34	Lung	5 268	103.7
C53	Cervix uteri	4 096	80.7
C73	Thyroid	3 808	75.0
C56	Ovary	3 547	69.9
C67	Bladder	3 094	60.9
C43	Melanoma of skin	3 060	60.3
C64-65	Kidney	2 607	51.3
C82-86, C96	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	2 321	45.7
C91-95	Leukaemia	1 809	35.6
C16	Stomach	1 275	25.1
C00-06	Lip, oral cavity	1 250	24.6
C70-72	Brain, nervous system	1 188	23.4
C51	Vulva	779	15.3
C09-10	Oropharynx	771	15.2
C25	Pancreas	734	14.5
C32	Larynx	552	10.9
C88+C90	Multiple myeloma	547	10.8
C23-24	Gallbladder	425	8.4
C81	Hodgkin lymphoma	383	7.5
C07-08	Salivary glands	235	4.6
C22	Liver	212	4.2
C52	Vagina	189	3.7
C11	Nasopharynx	132	2.6
C15	Oesophagus	131	2.6
C12-13	Hypopharynx	110	2.2
C45	Mesothelioma	24	0.47
C46	Kaposi sarcoma	8	0.16

\*\*\* Proportions per 100 000





Estimated number of prevalent cases (5-year) in 2018, Hungary, all cancers, both sexes, all ages



**Fig. 13.** Estimated number of prevalent cases (5 years) of cancer, both sexes, all ages, in Hungary (*Source*: \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. *Globocan cancer observatory.* Available from: http://gco.iarc.fr)

## BULGARIA

Data source: Globocan 2018 Graph production: Global Cance Observatory (http://gco.iarc.fr)

The number of cancer patients (all sites) registered in Bulgaria was 284 355 by 2015, which represented a crude prevalence rate 3961.5 per 100 000, i.e. 4% - 4 people out of every 100 were diagnosed with cancer. In females the crude prevalence rate was higher as compared to males - 170 860 and 113 495 cases, respectively, or 4632.4 /100 000 *vs* 3252.4/100 000. The data for crude prevalence rate for colon cancer, breast cancer and ovarian cancer by sex are presented in Table VII.

 Table VII

 Crude prevalence rate for colon cancer, breast cancer and ovarian cancer by sex in Bulgaria (2015)

			0	-		
	Male		Female		Total	
	Cases	Crude prevalence rate /100 000	Cases	Crude prevalence rate /100 000	Cases	Crude prevalence rate /100 000
Colon cancer	9249	265	9398	254.8	18647	259.8



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union





#### Estimated number of prevalent cases (5-year) in 2018, Bulgaria, all cancers, both sexes, all ages

Fig. 14. Estimated number of prevalent cases (5 years) of cancer, both sexes, all ages, in Bulgaria (Source: \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. Globocan cancer observatory. Available from: <u>http://gco.iarc.fr</u>)

# 1.3. <u>Risk-Factors</u>

# **FRANCE**

The main modifiable risk factors for cancer include (1):

# The tobacco

Graph production: Global Cancer Observatory (http://gco.iarc.fr)

In France, the number of cancer deaths attributable to tobacco is about 44,000 per year, or 30% of cancer mortality. It is responsible for 93% of lung cancer deaths and significantly promotes the occurrence of other cancers: oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, pancreas, bladder, kidneys, nasal cavity, sinus, esophagus, stomach, liver, uterine cervix, and to a lesser extent myeloid leukemia, colon, rectum, mucinous ovary and breast cancer. The prevalence of active smoking in France is 31.6% of 15-85 year olds.

## The alcohol:



Alcohol consumption is the second leading cause of preventable cancer mortality in France, with 15,000 deaths in 2009 (9.5% of cancer deaths, 12% in men and 6% in women). Alcohol is convincingly associated with cancer risk for the mouth, pharynx, larynx, esophagus, liver, colon-rectum and breast. France remains among the most alcohol-consuming countries in the world and countries of the European Union (11.8 liters of pure alcohol per inhabitant of at least 15 years).

# Diet and physical activity:

Dietary or physical activity factors that increase the risk of cancer include alcohol consumption, overweight and obesity (2,300 cancer deaths, 1.6% cancer deaths), consumption of red meat (> 500 g per week) and processed meats, consumption of salt and salted foods, and consumption of food supplements based on beta-carotene. Nutritional factors are responsible for 20 to 27% of cancers in Europe.

Inadequate physical activity is responsible for approximately 2,240 cancer deaths, or 1.6%. Other cancer risk factors include environmental factors (Radon, ionizing radiation), occupational exposure factors, such as asbestos, and infectious agents such as EBV, HBV, and HCV.

# Major non-modifiable risks factors include:

# <u>Age</u>

Age is a risk factor for most cancers. The median age to diagnosis of cancer is 68 years of age in men and 67 years of age in women. The aging of the French population is responsible for 33.7% of the increase in cancer incidence in France (1).

About 80 % of breast cancer is declared after 50 years of age (50 % between 50-69 years and 28 % after 69 years), and only 10 % are declared before 35 years (3). Most ovarian cancer is declared after 65 years (5).

# Personal history of cancer

For all cancer types combined, after a first malignancy, developing a second cancer is associated with a relative risk (RR) of 1.36 (1). This risk varies with the type of first cancer. For example, the RR is 3-4 for a second breast cancer, and is estimated at 8-10 in the case of





ductal or lobular carcinoma in situ (3). A personal history of colorectal cancer or advanced adenoma also carries a significant risk of developing a new colorectal cancer (4).

# Hormonal factors

Hormonal factors are involved in occurrence of breast and ovarian cancer. The risk of both cancers is higher in women who have not had a child, who have their first child late, and who have early puberty or late menopause (7). Furthermore, taking oral contraceptives or hormonal treatments for menopause may also increase the risk of breast cancer, which is modulated by the duration of treatment (8).

# Family history of cancer

A familial history of breast cancer in a first degree relative gives an RR of 2 (3). This risk increases with the number of first degree relatives affected and the age of occurrence. A first-degree relative with ovarian cancer gives an RR of 3 for developing ovarian cancer in the absence of a predisposing mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2.

A first degree relative with colorectal cancer exposes to a RR at 2.25. As for breast cancer, this risk increases with number of relatives with colorectal cancer and age of occurrence (6).

# Hereditary cancer predisposition

Hereditary predisposition concerns 5 to 10 % of cancers, with high to very high risk of cancer according to the syndrome. More than 50 syndromes have been described, the most common of which are listed below (9).

Genetic predisposition	Major associated genes
Breast/ovarian syndrome	BRCA1, BRCA2, PALB2, RAD51
Lynch syndrome	MLH1,MSH2,MSH6,PMS2,EPCAM
Familial pituitary adenomas	AIP
Ataxia-telangiectasia	ATM
Hereditary diffuse gastric	CDH1
cancer	
Hereditary papillary renal	MET,FH
cancer	
Hyperparathyroidism	CASR,CDC73
Cowden syndrome	PTEN,PICK3CA,AKT1
Fanconi syndrome	FANC



Co-funded by the production of this publication does not con encodersement of the contents which refle views only of the authors, and the commiss of the European Union

Von Hippel-Lindau disease	VHL
Familial malignant melanoma	CDKN2A,MITF,BAP1,POT1,CDK4
Endocrine neoplasia	MEN1,RET,CDKN1B
Neurofibromatosis	NF1,NF2,LZTR1,SMARCAB1,SPRED1,SMARCE1
Paraganglioma –	SDH,TMEM127,MAX,EPAS1
pheochromocytoma	
Familial polyposis	APC,MUTYH,POLE,POLD1,NTHL1
adenomatous	
Retinoblastoma	RB1
Birt hogg-dubé syndrome	FLCN
Bloom syndrome	BLM
Carney syndrome	PRKAR1A,ARMCS
Gorlin syndrome	PTCH1,PTCH2,SUFU
Li-Fraumeni syndrome	TP53
Numegen syndrome	NBN
Peutz Jeghers syndrome	STK11
Juvenile polyposis syndrome	BMPR1A,SMAD4
Werner syndrome	WRN
Xeroderma pigmentosum	XP

# ROMANIA

A study by Matei M et al. in 2009, regarding the risk factors for genital neoplasia (ovarian cancer, uterine cancer and cervical cancer) among women of the North-East region of Romania, identified the pathological history as associated with an increased risk (with statistical significance) as well as fertilization treatment or BMI over 25 Kg / m2 (without statistical significance) [Matei et al, 2009]. In another study developed in the same region of the country, the authors identified the following factors associated with an increased risk of developing ovarian cancer: age (OR = 12.44; p <0.01x10-5) (58.63% of cases were diagnosed at ages between 50 and 69 years, which means during postmenopausal period); origin in urban area (OR = 3.45; p = 0.04x10-3); ovulatory period over 30 years (OR = 10.84; p <0.01x10-5) and age at diagnosis (OR = 2.01; p= $0.016x10^{-3}$ ). In contrast to most research founded in the literature, the authors did not identify the early age at first menstruation, late menopause, obesity, smoking, and high socioeconomic status as factors that increase the risk for ovarian





malignancies, although the results of the current study were in agreement with some conclusions published by other authors [Matei et al, 2010].

# 1.4. Mortality

# FRANCE

In 2012, cancer mortality was estimated at 148 000 deaths, including 85 000 deaths in men and 63 000 in women (1). Lung cancer was the leading cause of cancer death in men (21,236 deaths), followed by colorectal cancer (9,275 deaths) and prostate cancer (8,876 deaths). Breast cancer was the leading cause of cancer death in women (11,886 deaths), followed by lung cancer (8,623 deaths), colorectal cancer (8,447 deaths) and ovarian cancer (3,140).



Fig. 15. Estimated number of deaths cases (5 years) of cancer, both sexes, all ages, in France (Source: \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. Globocan cancer observatory. Available from: <u>http://gco.iarc.fr</u>)

## ROMANIA

In terms of mortality, in Romania, in 2008, ovarian cancer ranked the 7th position, with a rate of 5.2 deaths / 100,000 women (Fig. 15) [GLOBOCAN 2008]. A rate of 8.23 deaths per 100,000 women (which far exceeds the country average) was recorded for North-East Region. [Gheorghiu, 2011].





Fig. 15. Mortality (standardized by age) for the main types of cancers developed by women in Romania, 2008

According to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), in Romania, in 2018, the estimated total number of cancer deaths for both sexes at all ages was 50,902 (GLOBOCAN 2018). Deaths distribution by location indicates that lung cancer was on the first place (20.2%), followed by colorectal cancer (12.4%), breast cancer (6.6%), liver cancer (6.2%), gastric cancer (5.9%), pancreatic cancer (5.8%), prostate cancer (4.9%) and other cancers (38%) (Fig. 5). Among the other cancers that were recorded we mention: ovarian cancer (2.3%) and uterine cancer (1.5%) [GLOBOCAN 2018].



Fig. 16. Estimated number of cancer deaths, both sexes, all ages, in Romania (Source: \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. Globocan cancer observatory. Available from: <u>http://gco.iarc.fr.</u>)



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



# HUNGARY

Estimated number of deaths in 2018, Hungary, females, all ages							
ICD	Cancer	Number	Uncertainty interval	Crude Rate*	ASR (World)*	Cum. risk**	
C00-97	All cancers	15 424	[15126.6-15727.3]	303.7	122.0	-	
C33-34	Lung	3 535	[3367.8-3710.5]	69.6	30.9	-	
C50	Breast	2 212	[2055.7-2380.1]	43.6	17.9	-	
C18-21	Colorectum	2 209	Not available	43.5	14.8	-	
C25	Pancreas	1 109	[1021.9-1203.5]	21.8	7.9	-	
C56	Ovary	777	[701.4-860.7]	15.3	6.6	-	
C16	Stomach	628	[561.0-703.0]	12.4	4.3	-	
C53	Cervix uteri	499	[438.7-567.6]	9.8	5.1	-	
C91-95	Leukaemia	434	[374.2-503.4]	8.5	3.4	-	
C54	Corpus uteri	413	[359.6-474.3]	8.1	2.9	-	
C23-24	Gallbladder	392	[343.0-448.0]	7.7	2.5	-	
C64-65	Kidney	373	[318.9-436.3]	7.3	2.6	-	
C70-72	Brain, nervous system	342	[283.6-412.4]	6.7	3.4	-	
C67	Bladder	301	[257.1-352.3]	5.9	2.0	-	
C22	Liver	292	[238.6-357.4]	5.8	2.1	-	
C82-86, C96	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	286	[233.9-349.7]	5.6	2.1	-	
C43	Melanoma of skin	182	[148.7-222.8]	3.6	1.5	-	
C00-06	Lip, oral cavity	145	[109.1-192.6]	2.9	1.3	-	
C88+C90	Multiple myeloma	142	[111.4-181.0]	2.8	1.0	-	
C51	Vulva	106	[79.6-141.2]	2.1	0.66	-	
C15	Oesophagus	99	[71.2-137.7]	1.9	0.88	-	
C09-10	Oropharynx	73	[57.1-93.3]	1.4	0.76	-	
C32	Larynx	70	[50.0-98.0]	1.4	0.75	-	
C73	Thyroid	65	[50.2-84.1]	1.3	0.42	-	
C12-13	Hypopharynx	39	[28.1-54.1]	0.77	0.39	-	
C52	Vagina	32	[22.1-46.4]	0.63	0.22	-	
C07-08	Salivary glands	23	[14.9-35.4]	0.45	0.19	-	
C11	Nasopharynx	19	[11.8-30.5]	0.37	0.22	-	
C45	Mesothelioma	16	[9.5-26.9]	0.32	0.14	-	
C81	Hodgkin lymphoma	16	[9.4-27.2]	0.32	0.19	-	
C46	Kaposi sarcoma	1	[0.50-1.9]	0.02	0.00	-	

 Table VIII

 Estimated number of deaths in 2018, Hungary, females, all age

 $\ast$  Crude and age-standardized rates per 100 000

Table IX
Estimated number of deaths in 2018, Hungary, males, all ages

ICD	Cancer	Number	Uncertainty interval	Crude Rate*	ASR (World)*	Cum. risk**
C00-97	All cancers	17 586	[17271.4-17906.3]	381.4	203.2	-
C33-34	Lung	5 358	[5145.6-5579.2]	116.2	62.9	-
C18-21	Colorectum	2 867	Not available	62.2	31.2	-



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



ICD	Cancer	Number	Uncertainty interval	Crude Rate*	ASR (World)*	Cum. risk**
C61	Prostate	1 225	[1134.9-1322.2]	26.6	11.8	-
C25	Pancreas	969	[868.0-1081.8]	21.0	11.2	-
C16	Stomach	895	[800.5-1000.7]	19.4	9.9	-
C67	Bladder	649	[568.9-740.3]	14.1	6.8	-
C22	Liver	628	[556.7-708.5]	13.6	7.3	-
C15	Oesophagus	493	[414.9-585.8]	10.7	6.3	-
C00-06	Lip, oral cavity	461	[394.6-538.6]	10.0	6.1	-
C64-65	Kidney	458	[396.6-529.0]	9.9	5.3	-
C32	Larynx	456	[400.5-519.2]	9.9	5.7	-
C91-95	Leukaemia	438	[382.1-502.1]	9.5	5.2	-
C70-72	Brain, nervous system	354	[299.1-418.9]	7.7	4.8	-
C12-13	Hypopharynx	320	[274.8-372.6]	6.9	4.3	-
C82-86, C96	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	299	[256.3-348.8]	6.5	3.5	-
C09-10	Oropharynx	267	[220.2-323.7]	5.8	3.7	-
C23-24	Gallbladder	216	[179.0-260.6]	4.7	2.3	-
C43	Melanoma of skin	169	[131.6-217.0]	3.7	2.0	-
C88+C90	Multiple myeloma	138	[108.8-175.0]	3.0	1.5	-
C62	Testis	42	[30.6-57.6]	0.91	0.70	-
C11	Nasopharynx	42	[30.7-57.5]	0.91	0.54	-
C07-08	Salivary glands	37	[26.2-52.2]	0.80	0.45	-
C60	Penis	31	[21.5-44.7]	0.67	0.34	-
C73	Thyroid	30	[20.5-44.0]	0.65	0.32	-
C45	Mesothelioma	25	[16.6-37.7]	0.54	0.31	-
C81	Hodgkin lymphoma	22	[14.2-34.2]	0.48	0.33	-
C46	Kaposi sarcoma	2	[0.90-4.4]	0.04	0.02	-

\* Crude and age-standardized rates per 100 000



Estimated number of deaths in 2018, Hungary, all cancers, both sexes, all ages

Total : 33 010

Data source: Globocan 2018 Graph production: Global Cancer Observatory (http://aco.iar.fr)



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union for Research on Cancer

(A) World Health Organization



**Fig. 17** Estimated number of cancer deaths, both sexes, all ages, in Hungary (*Source: \*\*\** International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. *Globocan cancer observatory*. Available from: <u>http://gco.iarc.fr</u>.)

# BULGARIA

BNCR data show that the number of cancer deaths in 2015 was 17932, which represented a crude mortality rate of  $249.8/100\ 000$  people. In men this index was higher - 10412 cases, or 298.4/100000, as compared to that of women - 7520 cases, or 203.9/100 000.

Mortality due to colon cancer was higher in males -954 cases, 27.3/100000 as compared to females -738 cases, 20/100000 inhabitants. A considerably higher mortality rate was observed in breast cancer of women -1312 cases, or 35.6/100000. Mortality due to ovarian cancer was lower -438 cases, or 11.9/100000.

The five-year relative survival for breast, ovarian and colon cancer patients >15 years of age diagnosed in the period 2000-2007 in Bulgaria are presented in Table X according to EUROCARE-5 data (last update 5 March, 2014).

Table X	
Five-year relative survival (%) for breast, ovarian and colon cancer patient	s by sex

	Number of cases		5-yea	Difference from the		
						European average
	Males	Females	Males	Females	All	
All sites	62313	59218	29.4	51.2	40.2	-14.4
Colon cancer	5266	4605	45.0	45.3	45.2	-10.4
Breast cancer	176	16802	57.2	72.8	72.6	-11.1
Ovarian cancer	-	3777	-	40.6	40.6	-0.2





Data source: Globocan 2018 Graph production: Global Cance Observatory (http://gco.iarc.fr) Estimated number of deaths in 2018, Bulgaria, all cancers, both sexes, all ages



**Fig 18.** Estimated number of cancer deaths, both sexes, all ages, in Bulgaria (*Source*: \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. *Globocan cancer observatory*. Available from: <u>http://gco.iarc.fr</u>.)

2. Current situation of the National Strategies in models of genetic risk assessment for hereditary cancer (HBOC, CRC and other types) in each country involved as a partner in the HOPE project (Table XI).

Country	FRANCE	ROMANIA	HUNGARY	BULGARY
/ National				
	- The High	- NO strategies	- There are <b>no</b>	No
Strategies	Health Authority		national approved	strategies
regarding the	has published	- Prospective study	risk assessment	
models of genetic	criteria for	conducted at Institute of	models available in	
risk assessment for	genetic testing of	Oncology Cluj Napoca	Hungary	
hereditary cancer	patients referred	that evaluated the		
	to an	performance of the	- BRCA1 and	
	oncogenetics	Myriad risk assessment	BRCA2 gene tests	



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



consultation for	model for 250 high-risk	have been provided	
suspected HBOC	breast cancer pts tested	by the Molecular	
	for BRCA1/2 mutations	Genetics	
- Manchester	between February 2015	Department of	
score or the	and December 2016 at	National Oncology	
BOADICEA	IOCN	Institute, Budapest,	
score - most	- Myriad genetic risk	Hungary for nearly	
frequently used	assessment model CAN	20 years for families	
in France to	BE an acceptable tool	with hereditary	
determine HBOC	for determining the risk	defects	
risk	of carrying BRCA		
	mutations in Romanian	-	
- for personal or	population if the score is		
familial history	between 10-20%		
of Lynch			
syndrome-related			
cancers, the			
Bethesda and			
Amsterdam			
criteria are used			
- there are			
national			
recommendations			
for			
neuroendocrine			
tumors			





**3.** Current practical situation in Genetic Testing Availability for HBOC and CRC in each country involved as a partner in the HOPE project (Table XII).

Country	FRANCE	ROMANIA	HUNGARY	BULGARY
/ Genetic Testing	- the diagnosis of an	- According to	- Genetic tests and	- genetic tests for
Availability for	inherited	"Cancer Screening	genetic counselling	hereditary colon
HBOC and CRC	predisposition to	in the European	for hereditary	cancer, ovarian
	cancer is based on	Union Report on the	cancers are	cancer and breast
	the national	implementation of	available in	cancer = provided
	oncogenetic plan =	the Council	Hungary, <b>BUT</b> it is	by private
	148 consultations in	Recommendation on	centralized in our	laboratories
	104 cities, and 25	cancer screening" in	capital Budapest	
	laboratories	Romania the access		- most of these
		to genetic testing is	- 3 public	tests are
	- The main	provided by a	institutions	performed abroad
	consultations are	pharmaceutical	provide genetic	and the time
	from Cancer Center	company	tests	needed to have
	and Public Hospital	- Acording to		the results =
		ROHEALTH		weeks to months
	- Doctors from main	survey (2019):		
	consultations can	* 2 institutions		- genetic
	make advanced	reported		counseling from a
	consultations in	availability of		specialist in
	peripheral cities	hereditary cancer		medical genetics
		genetic testing for		= is missing -
	- The National	diagnosis (1 private		when the patients
	Cancer Institute on	entity + 1		get their results
	his web site have a	governmental entity		
	link to the	both from		
	coordinates of each	Timisoara).		
	oncogenetics center	* 5 (4 private + 1		
	and theirs doctors	governmental)		
		entities <b>provide</b>		
	- 25 French	genetic testing for		
	accredited	breast/ovarian and		



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union Hope



r	laboratories (38 606	colorectal cancer.	
	analysis in 2016).		
	- Fach laboratory has		
	- Lach laboratory has		
	its own specialty, but		
	all are able to test		
	HBOC and/or CCR.		
	- all genetic		
	predispositions		
	currently known to		
	cancers can be tested		
	within these 25		
	laboratories		

4. The situation of the current Research Programs on mutation screening and founder mutation detection for HBOC and CRC in each country involved as a partner in the HOPE project (Table XIII).

Country	FRANCE	ROMANIA	HUNGARY	BULGARY
ResearchProgramsonmutationscreeningandfoundermutationdetectionforHBOCandCRC		- 7 Project (4 national + 3 international)		- research performedat the Center forMolecular Medicine,Sofia- 1 National Project"PersonalizedInnovative Medicine(PERIMED)" - projectin the field ofoncology, genetics,personalized medicineand pharmacogenetics.
		1.Systematicevaluationof		





ic risk factors
iated with
t cancer in
le (BrCa),
and rectum
C), prostate
a) and lung
a) cancers
enetic prostate
er variants as
arkers of
se progression
BRCA1 and
A2 Mutation in
anian
lation: a Study
Genotype -
otype
elation at
nosis With
bective Disease
ome and
val
ptimization and
ementation of
cular biology
ology in
tion of
t and ovarian
er hereditary
sposition
Comparative
of gene
ssion, in
av and tumoral





,	tissues, in carriers of	
	mutations and	
	polymorphisms of	
	predisposition genes	
	to breast and	
	ovarian cancer	
	6. Development of a	
	multi technological	
	approach to evaluate	
	the hereditary risk to	
	colorectal cancer	
	7. Involvement of	
	oncogenetics	
	activities within the	
	project	
	"The East European	
	Network of	
	Excellence for	
	Research and	
	Development in	
	Chronic Diseases	
	CHRONEX-RD"	

# FRANCE

A variety of research programs focused on the exploration of hereditary predisposition to breast and / or ovarian cancer and colorectal cancer are currently supported by the National Cancer Institute. The diversity of pathogenic variants observed in French HBOC and CCR families has shown that targeting founder mutations is not appropriate for this population.

# Five programs concern HBOC (19):

# **TUMOSPEC**

This national program aims to determine the tumor spectrum and the penetrance of genes that are known or suspected to be involved in hereditary predisposition to breast and ovarian cancer. A panel of 24 genes is analyzed, and potentially pathogenic variants are followed by segregation analysis in appropriate families.





This program aims to resolve the classification of variants of unknown significance in the BRCA1, BRCA2 and PALB2 genes as either pathogenic or neutral for cancer risk. The program is a segregation study proposed to family members of probands discovered to carry variants in these genes.

## GEMO:

This program aims to identify modifier genes in carriers of pathogenic variants in the BRCA1 or BRCA2 genes which could explain inter- and intra-familial variability in the occurrence of breast and / or ovarian cancer.

## SIGNAL:

This study aims to identify genetic determinants of resistance / sensitivity and / or toxicity to adjuvant therapy and genetic determinants predisposing to breast cancer:

- determinants of resistance or sensitivity after adjuvant therapy including Herceptin®
- determinants of cardiac toxicity after adjuvant therapy including Herceptin®
- genetic determinants predisposing to different types of breast cancer: HER2 +, triple negative, RH +
- genetic determinants predisposing to breast cancer

# <u>SAO3:</u>

This study aims to assess the incidence of constitutional mutations in breast cancer predisposition genes (BRCA1, BRCA2, PTEN and PALB2) in patients with triple-negative breast cancer.

Three programs concern predisposition to CCR (19):

# DOCC:

The objective of this study is to compare the frequency of genetic variations and to evaluate the association of these variations with the occurrence of colorectal cancer in patients with increased risk of colorectal cancer.

## **<u>GENCOLON</u>**:

The objective of this study is to determine whether gene mutations found more often in people with colorectal cancer than in healthy individuals could be associated with the development of colorectal cancer.

## <u>MYH</u>:





This is a study to evaluate the risk of colorectal polyposis in patients with mono-allelic mutation of the MUTYH gene.

## HUNGARY

The first evidence of genetic defects inherited in families can be a reason for some hereditary tumor disorders was suggested by Mary Claire King an American geneticist. The possible site of *BRCA1* (BReastCAncer 1- the first "breast cancer gene") located on the long arm of the 17 th chromosome has been suggested by M.C. King. Discovery of *BRCA2* (BReastCAncer 2- the second "breast cancer") gene took one more year to be described. Germline mutations in the highly penetrant cancer susceptibility genes, *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* are associated with a high lifetime risk of breast and ovarian cancer. Novel scientific results has been obtained in the last two decades about the function of *BRCA* genes and the risk of *BRCA* induced tumor formation in families carrying *BRCA* mutations.

Gene products of *BRCA* genes interact with different proteins in order to repair doublestranded brakeage of DNA, activation of checkpoints of the cell cycle and participate activation of a response to DNA damage.

Genetic testing related to inherited mutations of BRCA genes and risk assessment in framework of genetic counselling makes it possible to identify risk-averse people, thereby promoting early diagnosis, risk reduction, and proper therapeutic decisions. The Research Group of Professor Edit Olah joined to an international consortium in 1992 to get insight into the genetic background of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer. Olah's group has been providing BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene tests for nearly 20 years for families with hereditary defects in case of more than one breast or ovarian cancer on the same branch of the family or even one male breast cancer and also when the diseases are detected especially in young age (under 40 years). Genetic counselling and genetic tests are collaborated by a multidisciplinary team composed of clinical and molecular geneticists, clinical oncologists, breast surgeons, gynaecologists, human genetic assistants, psychologists in Budapest, Hungary at the Molecular Genetics Department of National Oncology The genetic tests are financed by the Hungarian National Health Insurance Institute. Company.

Department of Molecular Genetics of National Oncology Institute at the Institute of Health Sciences in Budapest Hungary is the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* National and International Reference Center for investigations. Nearly **9,000 genetic tests** have been carried out in order





to detect hereditary cancers **since 1995**. Hungarian experiences are now demonstrated with a focus on breast and ovarian cancer syndromes.

## The incidence and penetrance of BRCA 1 and 2 inherited mutations in Hungary

Ninety- ninety-five percent of breast cancers are not due to the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* disease causing variants. In Hungary 5–7% of breast cancers and 15% of ovarian cancers can be associated with *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes with definitive mutations. However, even hereditary (often with familiar appearance) breast cancers are connected with further somatic mutations and non-genetic factors. A complex, multi-tiered stream of carcinogenesis still remain unknown.

*BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes of germline inheritance with other genetic and environmental factors and lifestyle can possibly be responsible for the hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndromes (HBOC).

Following features are main indicators for HBOC:

-cumulative incidence of breast or ovarian cancer in a family on the same branch; -juvenile breast cancer (the most common of hereditary tumors); -often multi-focal, bilateral or multiple primary tumors can be found in a patient; -other cancers: male breast cancer and rarely prostate, pancreatic cancer;

- Ashkenazi Jewish (Eastern European) ancestry;

-Triple Negative breast cancers (<60y)

Due to autosomal dominant inheritance there is 50% chance of passing the mutated allel into the offspring. A woman can still be a mutant *BRCA* allel carrier even if never turned on her female branch of the family since the defective allel can be derived from her father's side. The average cumulative risk of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers are 56-87% in case of breast cancer and 27-44% of ovarian cancer respectively up to the age of 70 years. Complete penetrance of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations cannot be observed so other genetic and environmental factors may also influence the risk of the diseases. Studying gene-environment interactions nowadays are a popular research interest. The cumulative risk of diseases was compared to the randomly selected population involving in Hungarian data: significantly lower risk has been found in the random population than in the disease associated one. According to these studies, the *BRCA1* mutation caused carcinoma





risk is in average 65% for breast cancer, 39% for ovarian cancer and *BRCA2* risk is 45% for breast cancer and 11% for ovarian cancer, respectively.

*BRCA1* carriers manifest disease at younger (43 year old) age than *BRCA2* mutation carriers (47year old). Edit Olah's working group detected that approximately 90% of *BRCA* mutation carriers develop breast cancer before their age of 50 and there is no difference between *BRCA* mutation's carriers or not being a carriers for ovarian cancer in Hungary. Breast cancer patients with *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations develop the second primer tumor in the opposite breast have increased risk of approximately with a 3-5% of annual risk which means 17% in 5 years, 30-40% in 10 years.

Danger of contralateral breast cancer development is lower at the age of over 50 years with *BRCA2* mutation than with *BRCA1* mutations.

In Hungary both genes, but especially *BRCA2* are responsible for the relative risk of male carriers for the disease in 6 %. However male breast cancer is rare, *BRCA* caused tumor was found in 0.1-0.2%. According to the Hungarian data (E. Olah) the *BRCA2* mutations are responsible for more than 20% of male breast cancer.

*BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers have additional other tumor risks too. Male *BRCA2* mutation carrier HBOC syndrome patients have higher risk of developing prostate cancer under the age of 65 (up to 20 times greater than relative risk to the average population). There is an increased risk of pancreatic cancer, too.

The breast cancer of BRCA1 mutation carriers is mainly called triple negative (estrogen ERBB2 receptor negative, progesteron receptor negative, / HER2 negative) belongs to the basal (CK5 / 6 +) subtype. BRCA1 mutation is justified in every fourth basal breast cancer. Molecular characteristics of breast cancer of BRCA2 mutation carriers are practically the same compared to sporadic breast cancer's patients. Although familiar hereditary breast cancer syndromes are the most commonly occurring because of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* inherited mutations (> 80%), but other hereditary syndromes can also be associated with increased level of breast and ovarian cancer risk.

Besides the *BRCA* mutations, high risk of breast cancer is associated with *TP53*, *PTEN*, *STK11*, *CDH1* hereditary gene mutations carrying allels in the germ line. The rest of potential breast cancer predisposing genes have unknown frequency and only a moderate (2-3-fold) risk-increasing effect.

The aforementioned genes of rare cancer syndromes take place in less than 1% compared to breast cancer families. Mutation spectrum of *STK11* gene has been published from colon





polyps and malignomas affected Hungarian families. Beside *STK11* and *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, other genes have already been suggested for familiar adenomatosis polyposis syndrome like *APC*, *ATM*, *BAP1*, *BARD1*, *BMPR1A*, *BRIP1*, *CDH1*, *CDKN2A CDK4*, *CHEK2*, *EPCAM*, *GREM1*, *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *MITF*, *MUTYH*, *NBN*, *PALB2*, *PMS2*, *POLD1*, *POLE*, *PTEN*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, *SMAD4*, and *TP53*. The role of *STK11* gene of breast cancer at young age has been studied and currently being evaluated at the Molecular Genetics Department of National Oncology Institute.

The Lynch syndrome (also called hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer, HNPCC) is also characterized in this Institute since HNPPC and ovarian cancer might appear together.

The mutations of HNPCC /Lynch syndrome's gene called EPCAM / TACSTD1 have been discovered and also first published by E. Olah's team in Hungary. Twenty-five -thirty percent of familiar breast cancer carcinoma can be explained by the socalled "breast cancer genes" known today, however some predisposing genes are still hidden. Together with CIMBA (Consortium of Investigators of Modifiers of BRCA 1 and BRCA2) many new predisposing genes and population specific frequent variants have also been published by E. Olah. These variants are low risk variants but combined their occurrence can significantly change BRCA1 and BRCA2 risk carriers.

[Based on: Oláh E, Mátrai Z: Herediter emlő- és petefészekrák-szindróma, a gyanútól a rizikócsökkentésig. MC Medicina Chapter 15. 389-408]

Country	FRANCE	ROMANIA	HUNGARY	BULGARY
/ Institutions/				
<b>Cancer Centers</b>				
Institutions /	- 2017 = 77 478		Molecular	<b>5</b> public institutions
cancer centers	patients have		Genetics	-
providing	benefited from a		Department of	
Constic testing	consultation (8%		National	
Genetic testing	increases in the		Oncology	
	increase in the		Institute,	
	number of		Budapest,	
	consultations		Hungary	
	compared to		<i>.</i>	
Institutions /	2016).	ROHEALTH		<b>9</b> public and private

**5.** List of institutions, cancer centers, etc. that provide genetic testing and counselling services in each country involved as a partner in the HOPE project (Table XIV).







cancer centers		survey:	institutions
providing	- The whole	2 cancer genetic	(Universities, Hospitals,
Genetic counselling	territory is	counselling	and Private
_	covered by the	centers (Iasi and	laboratories)
	oncogenetic plan.	Timisoara)	
	- The average		
	time of		
	consultation is 12		
	weeks for		
	probands and 6		
	weeks for the		
	related.		
	- About 29 500		
	probands have		
	benefited from		
	genetic analysis.		
	- Among the		
	probands, 92 %		
	have been		
	analysed using		
	panel sequencing		
	- The French		
	expert groupe		
	"Genetic et		
	Cancer"		
	determined the		
	genes to be		
	included in a		
	panel analysis for		
	HBOC		
	indication:		
	BRCA1,		
	BRCA2, PALB2,		
	RAD51C,		
	RAD51D,		
	CDH1, TP53,		
	PTEN, MLH1,		
	MSH2, MSH6,		
	PMS2, and		
	EPCAM.		





6. The situation of Education Programs regarding Oncogenetics in each country involved as a partner in the HOPE project (Table XV):

Country	FRANCE	ROMANIA	HUNGARY	BULGARY
/ Education				
Programs				
Postgraduated		For doctors = 2		Oncogenetics = part
courses				of the postgraduate
				courses in medical
				genetics and
				medical oncology
				specialties
Conferences /		For students = 2		"The
Congresses				Multidisciplinary
				Oncology Talks and
				Extracts"
				(abbreviation in
				Bulgarian = MORE)
				- organized by the
				Bulgarian
				Oncological
				Scientific Society
Web page		www.oncogenetica.umfiasi.ro		
		General population = 1		
Brochure		Oncogenetic information		
		brochure for doctors = 1		
Leaflets		Oncogenetic information		
		leaflet for patients = 1		

# FRANCE

6.1. Oncogenetics Training Programs for Health Care Professionals and Medical Specialists





These programs include university diploma courses and continuing education programs. They are intended for all health professionals, and particularly for doctors treating cancer patients, in order to provide appropriate care. These programs include:

- Inter-University Diploma "Oncogénétique" proposed by Paris-Descartes University, in collaboration with other Parisian schools of medicine. This training includes the general principles of oncogenetics, the molecular mechanisms involved, clinical diagnostic and testing criteria, and the management of patients. The course content is updated annually (21).

– The national genetics conference ("Assises de Génétique"), held every two years in France, presents new discoveries and progress in medical genetics and medical practices. Oncogenetics is well represented at these conferences (22).

- Oncogenetics teaching is carried out during the training of interns of medical genetics, during their four years of study as part of the specialized medical genetics degree.

- Two very high output sequencing pilot platforms have been created in France. These platforms propose to perform whole-exome-sequencing and whole-genome sequencing analyzes in a diagnostic and theranostic setting in oncology. In order to train non-geneticist prescribers in this type of analysis, a short 30-minute training is proposed, dealing with the general principles of hereditary predisposition to cancer, as well as the ethical and regulatory aspects to be respected within the framework of an analysis of the genetic characteristics of an individual. Continuing professional development, lasting 7 hours, will complete this training.

Medical genetics is taught in 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> years of medical studies in all schools of Medicine in France. The program is based on the French College of Medical Genetics, and includes training in clinical genetics, oncogenetics, chromosomal and molecular genetics. The teaching of oncogenetics continues through the 6<sup>th</sup> year of medical studies, in order to prepare for the national classification examination in 6<sup>th</sup> year, giving access to the status of medical resident. The teaching program is integrated into the national college of medical oncology, and concerns the identification of the main syndromes of hereditary predisposition to cancer by organ, the genes involved in these predispositions, as well as the management of HBOC and Lynch syndrome patients. University education in oncogenetics is also offered to paramedical disciplines, thus integrating during the studies of midwives, nurses and pharmacy.

# 6.2. Health Education Programs for patients and their families at risk for hereditary cancer





Associations of patients and their families with hereditary predisposition to cancer have been formed. Most hereditary predispositions to cancer are attached to an association, which are frequently sponsored by medical specialists and provide information on the progress of oncogenetic consulation, the modes of transmission and the management recommended for patients. HBOC families may join for example the associations BRCAFRANCE (23) or GENETICANCER (24). HNPCC-Lynch association (25) and Familial Adenomatous Polyposis association (26), among others, are open to those with predisposition to digestive cancers.

Information booklets are also available in oncogenetic consultations in France.

# 6.3. Health Education Programs for the General Public

The French National Institute of Cancer presents open access educational programs for the general public, mainly concerning HBOC and Lynch syndrome.

ORPHANET (26) is an international information portal dedicated to rare diseases, created in France in 1996. This portal includes open access information documents intended for the general public, including diseases predisposing to cancer.

The website "Oncogenetique", anticipated in 2019, presents information about hereditary predisposition to cancer for the general public.

# HUNGARY

Education of Oncogenetic is briefly included in the subjects of either Oncology or Clinical Genetic for undergraduate courses of Medical Universities. It would be important to strengthen the ongogenetic training not only at the level of undergraduate studies but also for postgraduate level too.

There is a need to organize a good training program for Oncologycal license exam with oncogenetic specifities or Clinical Genetic Board Exam with special oncogenetic training.

7. Identification of the practical need (infrastructure, human, and financial resources) for establishing and developing an Oncogenetic Network at the level of each country involved as a partner in the HOPE project.

The oncogenetic approach of CRC involves 3 dimensions of the activity within an Oncogenetics Department: clinical for subjects monitoring; molecular for laboratory investigation, and epidemiological for statistical analysis and the interpretation of all data.





For these activities there are many important needs that have to be considered:

- a. *infrastructure* Oncogenetic Centers/ Departments;
- b. *human resources* trained doctors and nurses from different specialties, and statisticians/ informatician that will help the Department/ Center with the management of the computer network, software and other IT issues needed to acquire the necessary information and to build the local, interregional and national network of Oncogenetics;
- c. *Multidisciplinary Consultancy Group of specialists:* epidemiologists, oncologists, geneticists, gastroenterologists, gynecologists, surgical oncologists, family doctors, imaging specialists, psychiatrists, specialists in bioethics, biologists, and psychologists;
- d. *cost-efficiency approach*: taken into account for the evaluation of one individual: the cost of clinical and epidemiological investigation for a person which was diagnosed with a genetic risk for CRC; the cost for molecular tests for gene mutation identification for a person which was investigated through; and the cost for the investigation using clinical, epidemiological, and molecular methods of a relative of a person diagnosed with a risk for CRC;
- e. *patient education and counselling*: including the informed consent, the oncogenetic counselling, and the result communication;
- f. appropriate local and national strategies.

## Table XVI

# Identification of the practical need for establishing and developing an Oncogenetic Network at the level of each country involved as a partner in the HOPE project

Country	FRANCE	ROMANIA	HUNGARY	BULGARY
/ Needs				
Infrastructure		- Department of	- <b>NEED</b> for	Oncogenetic
		Oncogenetic –	molecular testing	centers (situated
		UMF Iasi	of genetic risk	in the medical
		- ONCOGEN –	factors (BRCA1,	universities)
		Centre for Gene	BRCA2, MMR,	NEEDED
		and Cellular	APC etc).	
		Therapies in the		





,	Treatment of		
	Cancer		
	(Timisoara)		
	- NEED for		
	development		
Human resources	- Department of	- <b>NEED</b> to	Trained staff
	Oncogenetic –	interpret the	NEEDED
	UMF Iasi	results obtained,	
		which will be at	
	- NEED for	the basis of an	
	development	epidemiological	
		assessment of	
		the risk factors	
		for cancer in the	
		Hungarian	
		population	
Multidisciplinary	- Department of	- The long-term	Oncogenetic
<b>Consultancy Group</b>	Oncogenetic –	goal <b>is to</b>	centers will
of specialists	UMF Iasi	generate an	organize training
		oncogenetic	programs for
	- NEED for	expertise that	specialists dealing
	development	will connect	with cancer
		Hungary to	patients
		similar European	NEEDED
		structures	
		designed to	
		monitor patients	
		with hereditary	
		cancer risk.	
Cost-efficiency	- Department of		NEEDED
approach	Oncogenetic –		
	UMF Iasi		
	- NEED for		





<i>r</i>	development		
Patient education	- Department of	- NEED to	Oncogenetic
and counselling	Oncogenetic –	identify and	centers will
	UMF Iasi	recruit patients	organize training
		with hereditary	programs for
	- Oncogen –	risk	patients at risk for
	Centre for Gene		hereditary cancer,
	and Cellular		their families and
	Therapies in the		the general public
	Treatment of		NEEDED
	Cancer		
	(Timisoara)		
	- NEED for		
	development		
Appropriate local	NEEDED	- <b>NEED</b> for	NEEDED
and national		National	
strategies		Strategies for	
		Diagnosis and	
		Oncogenetic	
		management of	
		hereditary cancer	
		patients	

# FRANCE

*The development of an Oncogenetic Network between the differents HOPE partners* requires human, material and financial needs.

Indeed, the access to very high-throughput sequencing, the increase in the number of indications in oncogenetic, and this for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes, requires additional human resources, which can be based on the creation of a position of doctor geneticist in 0.3 full time.

# REFERENCES





1. Institut National du Cancer, Les Cancers en France, édition 2014 : http://www.unicancer.fr/sites/default/files/Les%20cancers%20en%20France%20-

%20Edition%202014%20-%20V5.pdf

2. Institut National du Cancer,Femmes porteuses d'une mutation de BRCA1 ou BRCA2,Avril 2017 :https://www.ecancer.fr/content/download/198925/2674462/file/Plaquette\_Femmes\_porteuses\_d \_une%20mutation\_de\_BRCA1\_ou\_BRCA2\_mel\_20170529.pdf

3. Institut National du Cancer, Facteurs de risque du cancer du sein : https://www.e-cancer.fr/Patientset-proches/Les-cancers/Cancer-du-sein/Facteurs-de-risque

4. Institut National du Cancer, Facteurs de risque du cancer du côlon :

https://www.e-cancer.fr/Patients-et-proches/Les-cancers/Cancer-du-colon/Facteurs-de-risque

5. Haute Autorité de Santé, Cancer de l'ovaire, Janvier 2010 : https://www.hassante.fr/portail/upload/docs/application/pdf/2010-02/ald30\_gm\_k\_ovaire\_vd\_2010-02-11\_15-00-56\_15.pdf

6. Haute Autorité de Santé, Cancer colorectal, modalités de dépistage et de prévention chez les sujets à risque élevé et très élevé, mai 2017 : https://www.has-sante.fr/portail/upload/docs/application/pdf/2017-

06/dir76/reco248\_rapport\_elaboration\_cancer\_\_colorectal.pdf

7. Cancer Environnement, Cancer de l'ovaire : http://www.cancer-environnement.fr/220-Cancer-de-lovaire.ce.aspx

8. Cancer Environnement, Cancer du sein: http://www.cancer-environnement.fr/220-Cancer-du-sein.ce.aspx

9. Institut National du Cancer, les prédispositions génétiques : https://www.e-cancer.fr/Professionnelsde-sante/L-organisation-de-l-offre-de-soins/Oncogenetique-et-plateformes-de-genetiquemoleculaire/Les-predispositions-genetiques

10. Haute Autorité de Santé, Dépistage du cancer du sein en France : identification des femmes à haut risque et modalités de dépistage, mars 2014 : https://www.has-sante.fr/portail/jcms/c\_1741170/fr/depistage-du-cancer-du-sein-en-france-identification-des-femmes-a-haut-risque-et-modalites-de-depistage

11. Institut National du Cancer, Cancer de l'ovaire et inhibiteur de PARP, février 2017 : https://www.ecancer.fr/Professionnels-de-sante/L-organisation-de-l-offre-de-soins/Oncogenetique-et-plateformesde-genetique-moleculaire/Cancer-de-l-ovaire-et-inhibiteur-de-PARP

12. Tests somatiques recherchant une déficience du système MMR au sein des tumeurs du spectre du syndrome de Lynch recommandations de bonnes pratiques INCA : https://www.e-cancer.fr/Expertises-et-publications/Catalogue-des-publications/Tests-somatiques-recherchant-une-deficience-du-systeme-





MMR-au-sein-des-tumeurs-du-spectre-du-syndrome-de-Lynch

13. Synthèse de l'expertise sur la polypose associée aux mutations bi-alléliques du gène MUTYH : https://www.e-cancer.fr/.../file/Synthese\_Expertise\_MUTYH\_VF.pdf

14. Arbres décisionels d'exploration génétiques adénomes hypophysaires, tumeurs neuro-endocrines du pancréas, hyperparathyroidisme primaire: <u>http://www.sfendocrino.org/article/675/tengen</u>

15. Arbres décisionels d'exploration génétiques MEN2: http://www.sfendocrino.org/article/675/tengen
16. Arbres décisionels d'exploration génétiques paragangliomes/phéochromocytomes: http://www.sfendocrino.org/article/675/tengen

17. Institut National du Cancer, ONCOGÉNÉTIQUE EN 2017 /consultations et laboratoires : https://www.e-cancer.fr/Expertises-et-publications/Catalogue-des-publications/L-oncogenetique-en-2017-consultations-et-laboratoires

18. Unicancer, Recommandations de prévention ou dépistage des personnes porteuses d'une mutation d'un des gènes analysés dans le panel GGC-Unicancer, novembre 2017 : http://www.unicancer.fr/sites/default/files/Tableau-1-genes-inclus-dans-panel-sein-ovaire\_CP-UNICANCER-09.11.17.pdf

19. Institut National du Cancer, Le registre des essais cliniques : https://www.ecancer.fr/Professionnels-de-la-recherche/Recherche-clinique/Le-registre-des-essais-cliniques/Leregistre-des-essais-cliniques

20. Institut National du Cancer, Oncogénétique et plateformes de génétique moléculaire, Un suivi adapté : https://www.e-cancer.fr/Professionnels-de-sante/L-organisation-de-l-offre-desoins/Oncogenetique-et-plateformes-de-genetique-moleculaire/Un-suivi-adapte

21. Université Paris Descartes, DIU d'Oncogénétique : http://www.scfc.parisdescartes.fr/index.php/descartes/formations/medecine/oncologiehematologie/diu-oncogenetique/(language)/fre-FR

22. assises-genetique.org/

23. https://www.brcafrance.fr/

24. http://geneticancer.org/

- 25. https://www.hnpcc-lynch.com/category/lassociation/
- 26. https://www.polyposes-familiales.fr/
- 27. https://www.orpha.net/consor/cgi-bin/index.php?lng=FR

## ROMANIA

- 1. Alexiu OA, M Toma, I Radu, AM Crăciun, D Cimponeriu The risk for colorectal cancer and polymorphisms of APC gene. Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai, Biologia 60 2015
- 2. Andrea S. Blevins Primeau, August 24, 2018 Genetic Testing and Cancer-Cancer therapy advisor





- Avădanei ER, Giuşcă SE, Negură L, Căruntu ID, 2018, Single nucleotide polymorphisms of XRCC3 gene in hepatocellular carcinoma – relationship with clinicopatholocical features. Pol J Pathol, 69 (1), 73-81.
- Azoicăi Doina , Mioara Matei, Lucian Negură, 2015, Rolul factorului ereditar în cancerele familiale. Oncogenetica aduce soluții. Volumul de conferințe al Congresului Național al Societății Române de Fiziopatologie cu participare internațională (Iași, 7-10 mai 2015),
- Backe J, Hofferbert S, Skawran B, Dörk T, Stuhrmann M, KarstensJH et al. Frequency of BRCA1 mutation 5382insC in Germanbreast cancer patients. Gynecol Oncol. 1999;72(3):402-6
- 6. Boyar SR, Shapiro CL. Ready, fire, aim: addressing issues associated with multigene panel testing for cancer susceptibility. *Oncology* (Williston Park). 2016;30(9):800, 807.
- Burcoş, M Toma, M Stavarachi, D Cimponeriu, P Apostol, E Popa, S Stăñilescu, I Popa, I Radu, C Serafinceanu, N Panduru, L Beluşică, L Gavrilă MTRR polymorphism and the risk for colorectal and breast cancer in Romanian patients—a preliminary study. *Chirurgia* 2010; 105 (3) : 379-382.
- Burcos, D. Cimponeriu, D.A. Ion, S. Spandole , P. Apostol, M. Toma, I. Radu, I. Popa, Stanilescu , E. Popa. Analysis of Several BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutations in a Hospital-based Series of Unselected Breast Cancer cases Department of Surgery. 2013
- Chicoş, A, Anca Negură, 2017, An overview of ocogenetic diagnostic and patient follow-up in Romanian hereditary cancer predisposition families. *Annals of Oncology*, Volume 28, Suppl.9, mdx621.064, P3-242. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdx621.064.
- 10. Chirica V, Matei MC, Postolică R, Gavrilovici C, Azoicăi D. Colorectal cancer epidemiological perspectives in the oncogenetic context. *Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iași* 2015; 119 (3) : 821-827.
- 11. Azoicai D. Oncogenetics saves lifes. Rev. Med. Chir. Soc. Med. Nat. Iași 2014; 118 (1): 7-10.
- Cohen, S. A., & Leininger, A. (2014). The genetic basis of Lynch syndrome and its implications for clinical practice and risk management. The Application of Clinical Genetics, 7, 147–158. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/TACG.S51483</u>
- Nemescu D, Ursu R, Negură L. Heterogeneous Distribution of Fetal Microchimerism in Local Breast Cancer Environment, *PLOS ONE*, 2016 Jan 25;11(1):e0147675. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0147675.
- Eccles DM, Evans DG, Mackay J. Guidelines for a genetic riskbased approach to advising women with a family history ofbreast cancer. UK Cancer Family Study Group (UKCFSG). J Med Genet. 2000;37(3):203-9.
- 15. Eisinger F et al (2004) Identification and management of hereditary predisposition to cancer of the breast and the ovary. Bull Cancer 91(3):219–237





- 16. Eniu AE, Antone NZ, Stoian A, Dronca E, Matei RD, Ligtenberg M, et al. BRCA 1/2 mutations by next-generation sequencing testing in 200 Romanian high-risk patients with breast cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2017;35(15\_suppl):e13116–e16.
- 17. Eniu, L. Pop, A. Stoian, E. Dronca, R. Matei, M. Ligtenberg, H. Ouchene, A. Onisim, O. Rotaru, R. Eniu, N. Antone 2017 Understanding BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutated breast cancer cases in Romania: First report on founder mutations in Romanians Annals of oncology Volume 28 | Supplement 5 | September 2017
- Goidescu I, Eniu DT, Caracostea G, Cruciat Gh, Stamatian F. Associations of pathogenic mutations responsible for breast cancer risk with histology and immunohistochemistry in Romanian population. *RJLM* doi: 10.1515/rrlm-2017-0037.
- Goidescu IG, Caracostea G, Eniu DT, Stamatian FV. 2018 Apr 25. Apr 25, 2018 Prevalence of deleterious mutations among patients with breast cancer referred for multigene panel testing in a Romanian population. <u>Clujul Med.</u> 2018;91(2):157-165. doi: 10.15386/cjmed-894. Epub
- 20. Hitch K, Joseph G, Guiltinan J, Kianmahd J, Youngblom J, Blanco, A. Lynch syndrome patients' views of and preferences for return of results following whole exome sequencing. *J Genet Couns* 2014; 23 (4) : 539–551.
- 21. Iordache PD, Mates D, Gunnarsson B, Eggertsson HP, Sulem P, Benonisdottir S et al. Identification of Lynch syndrome risk variants in the Romanian population. *J Cell Mol Med* 2018; 22 (12) : 6068-6076. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.13881.
- 22. Janavicius R. Founder BRCA1/2 mutations in the Europe: implications for hereditary breastovarian cancer prevention and control. *EPMA Journal* 2010; 1: 397–412.
- 23. Jasperson, K. W., Tuohy, T. M., Neklason, D. W., & Burt, R. W. (2010). Hereditary and familial colon cancer. Gastroenterology, 138(6), 2044–2058. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2010.01.054
- 24. Toma M, Cimponeriu D, Pompilia A, Stavarachi M, Belusica L, Radu I, Gavrila L. Molecular analysis of mutations for the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene in Romanian patients with colorectal cancer. *J Med Life* 2008; 1 (4): 423–428.
- 25. Matei MC, Chirica V, Azoicai D. Are we prepared to implement the oncogenetic pproach in the management of hereditary colorectal cancer? *RIVEMed* 2018.
- 26. Matei MC, Chirica V, Azoicăi D, Petrariu FD, Oprea L. Cancer research investment: "top-down" versus bibliometric approach. *Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi* 2015; 119 (3) : 828-834.
- 27. Matei MC, Chirica V, Azoicăi D. A review of cancer research funding. *Social Research Reports* 2015; 27 : 3-25.
- 28. <u>Matei MC</u>, Căruntu ID, Negură L, Petrariu FD, Ifrim S, Acatrinei L, Sulugiuc A, Azoicăi D. Assessment of relations between main histologic type of ovarian cancer and some risk and prognostic factors. *Rev. Med. Chir. Soc. Med. Nat. Iaşi* 2010; 114 (4) : 1135-1141.





- 29. Matei M, Negura L, Carasevici E, Azoicai D. Particularities of the individual risks in a group of women with genital cancers. *Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi* 2009; 113 (4) : 1216-1221.
- 30. Mates IN, Jinga V, Csiki IE, Mates D, Dinu D, Constantin A, Jinga M. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in colorectal cancer: associations with tumor site and TNM stage. *J Gastrointestin Liver Dis* 2012; 21 (1) : 45-52.
- Morariu Aliona, Alina Manole, Doina Azoicai, Cancer genetic counseling in Europe. Part I.Western European countries, J.Med.Chir , 2018,122,3, 552-558
- 32. Morariu Aliona, Alina Manole, Doina Azoicăi. Cancer genetic counseling in Europe. Part II.Central and Eastern European countries, J.Med.Chir, 2018, 122,4, 783-789
- 33. \*\*\* National Health and Medical Research Council, NationalBreast Cancer Centre, New South Wales: Advice about familialaspects of breast cancer and ovarian cancer: A guide for healthprofessionals. [http://www.genetics.edu.au/pdf/boguidelines.pdf].
- 34. Negură A, Aliona Morariu, Andrei Chicoş, Lucian Negură, 2018, Optimization of BRAF exon 15 for the detection of V600E mutation in colorectal tumors. *Analele Științifice ale Universității* "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" din Iași Sec. II a. Genetică și Biologie Moleculară", Tom XIX, Fascicula 1, 2018 (acceptat).
- 35. Negură A, Lucian Negură, 2018, MSH2 gene Sanger sequencing for Lynch syndrome molecular diagnostic. *Analele Științifice ale Universității "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" din Iași Sec. II a. Genetică și Biologie Moleculară*", Tom XIX, Fascicula 1, 2018 (acceptat).
- 36. Negură L, Anca Negură, Doina Azoicăi, M. Marinca, L. Miron, 2014, Variantele de secvență neclasificate: o provocare pentru diagnosticul molecular. Volum de rezumate al Conferinței Naționale de Bioetică, Iasi, 6-8 noiembrie 2014, p. 74-75.
- 37. Negură L, Andrei Chicoș, Doina Azoicăi, Anca Negură, 2016, An overview of BRCA variants in young breast cancer patients from Romania. *The Breast*, Volume 29, Supplement 1, p.S24.
- 38. Negură L, Andrei Chicoş, Doina Azoicăi, Anca Negură, 2016, Unclassified sequence variants: a challenge to molecular oncogenetic diagnostics. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, Volume 24E, Supplement 1, p.291.
- 39. Negură L, Andrei Chicoş, Lucian Negură, 2018, DNA extraction from formalin-fixed paraffinembedded tissues has a direct impact on downstream PCR-based applications. Analele Științifice ale Universității "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" din Iași Sec. II a. Genetică și Biologie Moleculară", Tom XIX, Fascicula 1, 2018 (acceptat)
- 40. Negura L, Carasevici E, Negura A, Uhrhammer N, Bignon Y-J. Identification of a recurrent BRCA1 mutation in two breast/ovarian cancer predisposition families with distinct phenotypes, by allele-specific multiplex-PCR. *Rom Rev Lab Med* 2010; 18 (2) : 53-61.





- 41. Negura L, Duşa CP Balmuş MI, Azoicăi D, Negură AM, Marinca MV, Miron L. BRCA1 5382insC founder mutation has not a significant recurrent presence in North Eastern Romanian cancer patients. *Rom J Morphol Embryol* 2015; 56 (2) : 379–385.
- 42. Negură L., Cristian Petru Duşa, Miruna Balmuş, Doina Azoicăi, Anca Negură, Mihai Marinca, Lucian Miron, 2015, BRCA1 5382insC founder mutation has not a significative recurrent presence in North-Eastern Romanian cancer patients. *Romanian Journal of Morphology and Embriology*, 2015, 56(2):379–385.
- 43. Negură L, Azoicai D, Uhrhammer N, Bignon Y-J, Negura A. Intronic sequence variants in BRCA genes among breast and ovarian Romanian patients. *European Journal of Human Genetics* 2013; Volume 21, Supplement 2,p. 270.
- 44. Negură L., Lucian Miron, Mihai Marinca, Doina Azoicăi, Anca Negură, 2015, SNP genotyping by Taqman allele discrimination technique. Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Alexandru Ioan Cuza Iasi (Serie noua). Sectiunea IIa - Genetica si Biologie Moleculara, 2015, Vol. XVIII(2), in press. ISSN: 2248-3276.
- 45. Negură L, Anca Negură, 2016, Genele BRCA: Implicații în oncogenetică și imunologie. Editura Tehnopress, 2016, ISBN: 978-606-687-227-0.
- 46. Negură L, Anca Negură, 2018, Sanger sequencing of MMR genes in a one-plate system. Romanian Rev Lab Medicine, 26, (2), 153-163, ISI indexed, impact factor 0,400
- 47. Negură L, Andrei Chicoş, Roxana Avadanei, Anca Negură, 2017, Preliminary results on germline and somatic molecular investigations in Romanian Lynch syndrome patients. Annals of Oncology, Volume 28, Suppl.5, mdx393, 605P, v158-v208. [DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdx393] (Impact factor 2016: 11.855 ; AIS 2016: 3.015).
- 48. Negură L., Andrei Chicoş, Valeriu Aurelian Chirica, Roxana Postolică, Anca Negură, 2017, Developing a local casuistic adapted protocol for selecting colorectal cancer patients inclusion in MMR genetic testing. Analele Științifice ale Universității "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" din Iași Sec. II a. Genetică și Biologie Moleculară", 2017, Tom XVIII, Fascicula 1, 1-8.
- 49. Nelson HD, Huffman LH, Fu R, Harris EL. U.S. PreventiveServices Task Force. Genetic risk assessment and BRCA mutationtesting for breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility: systematic evidence review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. AnnIntern Med. 2005;143(5):362-79.
- 50. Papp J, Raicevic L, Milasin J, Dimitrijevic B, Radulovic S, Olah E. Germline mutation analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in Yugoslav breast/ovarian cancer families. *Oncol Rep.* 1999; 6 (6) : 1435–1438.
- 51. Plichta JK, Griffin M, Thakuria J, Hughes KS. What's new in genetic testing for cancer susceptibility? *Oncology* (Williston Park). 2016; 30 (9) : 787-799.





- 52. Postolică R, Enea V, Dafinoiu I, Petrov I, Azoicăi D. Association of sense of coherence and supernatural beliefs with death anxiety and death depression among Romanian cancer patients. *Death Stud* 2018. doi: 10.1080/07481187.2018.1430083.
- 53. Postolica R, Iorga M, Savin M, Azoicai D, Enea V. The utility of Leventhal's model in the analysis of the psycho-behavioral implications of familial cancer a literature review. *Arch Med Sci* 2018; 14 (5) : 1144-1154. doi: 10.5114/aoms.2016.63149.
- 54. Postolica R, Chirica V, Negura L, Azoicai D, Gavrilovici C. Respect for Confidentiality in Genetic Testing: a Right or a Burden? (Articol trimis spre publicare)
- 55. Postolica R, Enea V, Azoicai D. Familial Cancer and Common Sense Model of Self Regulation: a Systematic Review (Articol trimis spre publicare)
- 56. Procopciuc, Gelu Osian, Mihaela Iancu Colorectal Cancer Carcinogenesis: a Multivariate Genetic Model in a Cohort of Romanian Population
- 57. Straja ND, Panait M, Busca A, Cinca S. Trends in cancer incidence and mortality comparative data Worldwide, European Union, and Romania. *Proc Rom Acad* 2015; Series B, 17 (2) : 125–136.
- 58. Stoffel, E. M., & Kastrinos, F. (2014). Familial Colorectal Cancer, Beyond Lynch Syndrome. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 12(7), 1059–1068. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2013.08.015</u>
- 59. Susswein LR, Marshall ML, Nusbaum R, et al. Pathogenic and likely pathogenic variant prevalence among the first 10,000 patients referred for next-generation cancer panel testing. *Genet Med.* 2016;18(8):823-832. doi: 10.1038/gim.2015.166
- 60. Toma M, Stavarachi M, Cimponeriu D, Pompilia Apostol, Cojocaru M., Panduru N, Irina Radu, p53 and dcc polymorphisms and the risk for colorectal cancer in romanian patients a preliminary study J. Analele Univ. Oradea Fasc. Biol 16, 162-165
- 61. Van Der Looij M, Szabo C, Besznyak I, Liszka G, Csokay B,Pulay T et al. Prevalence of founder BRCA1 and BRCA2mutations among breast and ovarian cancer patients inHungary. *Int J Cancer*. 2000; 86 (5) : 737-740.
- 62. Yu PP, Vose JM, Hayes DF. Genetic cancer susceptibility testing: increased technology, increased complexity. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015; 33 (31) : 3533-3534. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.63.3628
- 63. Yurgelun, M. B., Allen, B., Kaldate, R. R., Bowles, K. R., Judkins, T., Kaushik, P., ... Syngal, S. (2015). Identification of a Variety of Mutations in Cancer Predisposition Genes in Patients With Suspected Lynch Syndrome. Gastroenterology, 149(3), 604–13.e20. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.05.006">https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.05.006</a>.
- 64. \*\*\* International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN 2018. *Globocan cancer observatory*. [Online]. Disponibil pe internet la: <u>http://gco.iarc.fr</u>. Accesat la 10 Februarie 2019.





- 65. \*\*\* Gheorghiu R. *Registrul Regional de Cancer Nord-Est. Raport anual 2008.* Centrul Regional de Sănătate Publică Iași, 2011.
- 66. \*\*\* GLOBOCAN 2008. FAST STATS. [Online]. Disponibil pe internet la: <u>http://globocan.iarc.fr/</u>. Accesat la 10 Februarie 2010.

## HUNGARY

1. King MC, Marks JH, Mandell JB, New York Breast Cancer Study Group. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. Science. 2003;302:643–6.

2. Domchek SM, Friebel TM, Singer CF, Evans DG, Lynch HT, Isaacs C, et al. Association of risk-reducing surgery in BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation carriers with cancer risk and mortality. JAMA. 2010; doi:10.1001/jama.2010.1237.

3. NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Hereditary Breast and/or Ovarian Cancer Syndrome.<u>http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/genetics\_screening.pdf. Accessed 4</u> March 2017.

4. Oláh E. [Hereditary neoplastic diseases (genetic predisposition and cancer syndromes)]. Orv Hetil. 1999 Feb 28;140(9):451-66. Review. Hungarian. PubMed PMID: 10204401.

5. González-Martín A. Update on randomized trials on recurrent disease. Ann Oncol. 2013;24 Suppl 10:x48-x52.

6. Makar AP, Kristensen GB, Børmer OP, Tropé CG. Serum CA 125 level allows early identification of nonresponders during induction chemotherapy. Gynecol Oncol 1993;49:73-9.

7. Hellstrom I, Raycraft J, Hayden-Ledbetter M, et al. The HE4 (WFDC2) protein is abiomarker for ovarian carcinoma. Cancer Res. 2003;63(13):3695-3700.

8. Moore RG, McMeekin DS, Brown AK, et al. A novel multiple marker bioassay utilizing HE4 and CA125 for the prediction of ovarian cancer in patients with a pelvic mass. Gynecol Oncol. 2009;112(1):40-46.

9. Steffensen KD, Waldstrøm M, Brandslund I, Petzold M, Jakobsen A. The prognostic and predictive value of combined HE4 and CA-125 in ovarian cancer patients. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2012;22:1474-1482.

10. Nagy B Jr, Krasznai ZT, Balla H, et al. Elevated human epididymis protein 4 concentrations in chronic kidney disease. Ann Clin Biochem. 2012;49(Pt 4):377-380.

11. Cao H, You D, Lan Z, et al. Prognostic value of serum and tissue HE4 expression in ovarian cancer: a systematic review with meta-analysis of 90 studies. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn.* 2018;18:371-383.

12. Rebbeck TR, Friebel TM, Olah E, Nathanson KL: Mutational spectrum in a worldwide study of 29,700 families with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations. *Human Mutation* 39:(5) pp. 593-620. (2018).





# BULGARY

1. Tyczynski JE, Demaret E, Parkin DM (eds.). Standards and Guidelines for Cancer Registration in Europe. IARC Technical Publication No 40. IARC, Lyon, 2003.

2. Jensen OM, Parkin DM, Maclennan R, Muir CS and Skeet RG (eds.). Cancer Registration. Principles and Methods. IARC Scientific Publications No 95, Lyon, IARC, 1991.

3. International Association on Cancer Registries. Multiple Primaries. IARC Internal Report 2000/03. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), 2000.

4. Черноземски И, Каранов С, Валерианова З. Диагностика, лечение и проследяване на болните със злокачествени новообразувания. Българска национална асоциация по онкология, София, 2009.

5. Световна здравна организация. Международна статисти- ческа класификация на болестите и проблемите, свързани със здравето. Десета ревизия. Женева, 2003 г.

6. Fritz A, Percy C, Jack A, Shanmugaratnam K, Sobin L, Parkin DM, Whelan S (eds.). International Classification of Diseases for Oncology. Third Edition. WHO. Geneva, 2000.

7. МНЗСГ. Международна статистическа класификация на бо-лестите, травмите и причините на умиранията. Медицина и физкултура. София, 1962 г.

8. МНЗ. Международна класификация на болестите. Медици- на и физкултура. София, 1970 г.

9. МНЗ. Международна класификация на болестите. Медици- на и физкултура. София, 1979 г.

10. Ferlay J, Burkhard C, Whelan S, Parkin DM. Check and Conversion Programs for Cancer Registries (IARC/IACR Tools for Cancer Registries). IARC Technical Report No 42. Lyon, 2005.

11. Ferlay J. DEPedits Version 1.00. Descriptive Epidemiology Group. IARC. Lyon, France, 2006.

12. Sobin LH, Wittekind C (eds.). TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours. Sixth Edition. International Union Against Cancer, 2002.

13. Parkin DM, Chen VW, Ferlay J, Galceran J, Storm HH and Whelan SL. Comparability and Quality Control in Cancer Registration. IARC Technical Report №19, 1994. Lyon, International Agency for Research on Cancer, WHO and International Association of Cancer Registries.

Национален статистически институт. Население и демо- графски процеси 2015. София, 2016.

15. Shin H, Curado M, Ferlay J, Heanue M, Edwards B, Storm H. Chapter 5: Comparability and quality of data. In: Curado M, Edwards B, Shin H, Storm H, Ferlay J, Heanue M, Boyle P (eds.). Cancer Incidence in Five Continents Vol. IX. IARC Scientific Publication No. 160. Lyon, 2007, pg. 67-94.





16. НГЛ\_ЛМП%20\_%20профилактични%20програми%20\_%20Организация%20на%20генетич ните%20лаборатории%20в%20България.html

17. Toncheva D, Petrova D, Tzenova V, Dimova I, Yankova R, Yordanov V, Damjanov D, Todorov T, Zaharieva B. Tissue microarray analysis of CYCLIN D1 gene amplification and gain in colorectal carcinomas. Tumor Biol 2004, 25, 157-160.

18. Dimova I, Zaharieva B, Raicheva S, Milev I, Dimitrov R, Doganov N, Aleksandrov M, Todorov T, Toncheva D. Association of cyclinD1 copy number changes with histological type in ovarian tumors. Acta Oncologica 2004;43(7):675-9.

19. Cherneva R., I. Dimova. Genetic aspects of lung cancer. BJMG 2006; 9 (1&2):9-17.

20. Dimova I., Zaharieva B., Raitcheva S., Dimitrov R., Doganov N., Toncheva D. Tissue microarray analysis of EGFR and erbB2 copy number changes in ovarian tumors. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2006, 16 (1):145-51

21. Dimova I., S. Raitcheva, R. Dimitrov, N. Doganov, D. Toncheva. Correlations between cmyc gene copy-number and clinicopathological parameters of ovarian tumours.. European Journal of Cancer. 42, Issue 5, 674-679, 2006.

22. Petrova D. R. Jankova, A. Yosifova, V. Tzenova, I. Dimova, D. Toncheva. Tissue Microarray Analysis of EGFR Gene Amplification and Gain in Bulgarian Patients with Colorectal Cancer. Onkologie 29, 198-200, 2006.

23. Petrova, Darinka Todorova; Nedeva, Petya; Maslyankov, Svilen; Toshev, Svetoslav; Yaramov, Nikolay; Atanasova, Srebrena; Toncheva, D; Oellerich, Michael; von Ahsen, Nicolas. No association between MDR1 (ABCB1) 2677G>T and 3435C>T polymorphism and sporadic colorectal cancer among Bulgarian patients. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2007 Vol. 30, No. 11, 2007

24. Petrova D. T., Nikolay Yaramov, Svetoslav Toshev, Petya Nedeva, Svilen Maslyankov, Nicolas von Ahsen, Michael Oellerich, Draga Toncheva. Genotyping of CYP3A5 Polymorphisms among Bulgarian Patients with Sporadic Colorectal Cancer and Controls. Onkologie 2007;30:559–563.

Metodieva SN. Angiogenesis and non-small cell lung cancer. Balkan J Med Genet 2008; 11(2):3-10

26. Petrova D.; Asif AR; Armstrong VW; Dimova I.; Toshev S.; Yaramov N.; Oellerich M.; Toncheva D.. Expression of chloride intracellular channel protein 1 (CLIC1) and tumor protein D52 (TPD52) as potential biomarkers for colorectal cancer. Clinical biochemistry 2008;41(14-15):1224-36.

27. Petrova DT, Toncheva D. Proteomic techniques in colorectal cancer research. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 2008: 22: 660-663.

28. Petrova DT, Nedeva P, Maslyankov S, Toshev S, Yaramov N, Atanasova S, Toncheva D, Oellerich M, von Ahsen N. No association between MDR1 (ABCB1) 2677G>T and 3435C>T polymorphism and sporadic colorectal cancer among Bulgarian patients. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2008;134:317–322.





29. Cherneva R, Dimova I, Georgiev O, Petrov D, Toncheva D.P53 Expression levels in tumor tissue, plasma, and lymph nodes of patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Biotechnology and Biothehnological Equipment, 2009, 23(3):1247-1249

30. Cherneva R., Georgiev O., Petrov D., Dimova I., Toncheva D. EGFR gene copy number changes in lung cancer – Folia Medica 2009, 2:12-17.

31. Cherneva R, Petrov D., Georgiev O., Slavova Y., Toncheva D., Trifonova N. Clinical usefulness of alpha-B crystalline antibodies in NSCLC patients .Interactive and CardioVascular Thoracic Surgery 2009; doi. 10; 1510/icvts,2009.213546,

32. Cherneva, R., Georgiev, O., Petrov, D., Slavova, Y., Toncheva, D., Bechev, B., Stamenova, M., Trifonova, N. Alpha-crystalline antibodies in non-small cell lung cancer patients. Comptes Rendus de L'Academie Bulgare des Sciences 2009, 62 (12), pp. 1615-1620

33. Cherneva R, Georgiev O., Petrov D., Slavova Y., Toncheva D., Bechev B., Stamenova M., Trifonova N. Expression of small heat shock protein  $\alpha$ B-crystallin in non-small cell lung cancerComptes Rendus de L'Academie Bulgare des Sciences, 2009, 62 (11) pp. 1483-1488

34. Nikolova DN, Doganov N, Dimitrov R, Angelov K, Kee LS, Dimova I, Toncheva D, Nakamura Y, Zembutsu H Genome-wide gene expression profiles of ovarian carcinoma: identification of molecular targets for treatment of ovarian carcinoma, Molecular Medicine Reports, 2009, 2(3), 365-384

35. Dimova I., Orsetti B., Negre V., Rouge C., Ursule L., Lasorsa L., Dimitrov R., Doganov N., Toncheva D., Theillet Ch. Genomic markers for ovarian cancer at chromosomes 1, 8 and 17, revealed by array CGH analysis. Tumori 2009, 95 (3) pp. 357-366.

36. Dimova, I., Raicheva, S., Dimitrov, R., Doganov, N., Toncheva, D. Coexistence of copy number increases of c-Myc, ZNF217, CCND1, erbB1 and erbB2 in ovarian cancers. Onkologie, 2009, 32 (7) pp. 405-410.

37. Cherneva, R., Petrov, D., Georgiev, O., Slavova, Y., Toncheva, D., Stamenova, M., Trifonova, N. Expression profile of the small heat-shock protein alpha-B-crystallin in operated-on non-small-cell lung cancer patients: clinical implication. European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery 2010, 37 (1), pp. 44-50.

38. Popova L., Hadjidekova V., Hadjieva T., AgovaS., Vassilev I. Cytokinesis-block micronucleus test in patients undergoing radioiodine therapy for differentiated thyroid carcinoma. Hell J Nucl Med.2005; 8(1): 53-57.

39. Konstantinova D, Kadiyska T, Sokolova V, Kaneva R, Mirchev M, Savov A, Aleksandrova A, Nedin D, Kostadinov E, Damyanov D, Kremensky I, Mitev V. (2010) CHEK2 I157T and colorectal cancer in Bulgaria. J BUON. 2010 Apr-Jun;15(2):314-7.

40. Konstantinova DV, Kadiyska TK, Kaneva RP, Tosheva EG, Guseva VT, Dimitrov BH, Dimitrov RG, Doganov NI, Ivanov SI, Kremensky IM, Mitev VI. (2010) CHEK2 I157T and endometrial cancer.





DNA Cell Biol. 2009 Jan;28(1):9-12.

41. Kadiyska T, Yakulov T, Kaneva R, Nedin D, Alexandrova A, Gegova A, Savov A, Mitev V, Kremensky I. (2007)Vitamin D and estrogen receptor gene polymorphisms and the risk of colorectal cancer in Bulgaria. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2007 Apr;22(4):395-400.

42. Kadiyska TK, Kaneva RP, Nedin DG, Alexandrova AB, Gegova AT, Lalchev SG, Christova T, Mitev VI, Horst J, Bogdanova N, Kremensky IM (2006) Novel MLH1 frameshift mutation in an extended hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer family. World J Gastroenterol. 2006 Dec 28;12(48):7848-51.

43. Kadiyska TP, Goranova TE, Dineva GK, Nedin DG, Alexandrova AB, Gegova AT, Kaneva RP, Damyanov DN, Kremensky IM and Mitev VI (2006) Molecular screening for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer in Bulgaria. Central European Journal of Medicine 2006 June, 1: 2: 128-135

44. Tzancheva M, Kadiyska T, Gegova AT, Dineva GK, Kaneva RP, Savov A, Marinov M, Kadyan, Penchev P, Nedin S, Alexandrova A, Ilieva J, Damyanov D, Mitev V and Kremensky I. (2003) Microsatelite instability in patients with colorectal cancer: Clinicomorphological Parallels. Annuaire de l Universite de Sofia St.Kliment Ohridski 2003 95:151-158,

45. Kadiyska T., Tzancheva M, Nedin D, Alexandrova A, Marinov M, Kaneva R, Damyanov D, Mitev V and Kremenski I (2003) MLH1 Promotor Hypermethylation in Bulgarian Patients with Colorectal Cancer, BJMG, 2003, 6: 3-8,

46. http://mmcbg.org/bg/index.php?page=group&open\_news=17

47. http://mmcbg.org/bg/index.php?page=group&open\_news=30

48. https://maichindom.com/%D0%B7%D0%B0-

%D0%BF%D0%B0%D1%86%D0%B8%D0%B5%D0%BD%D1%82%D0%B8/%D0%B4%D0%BA %D0%B1/%D0%BD%D0%B0%D1%86%D0%B8%D0%BE%D0%BD%D0%B0%D0%BB%D0%B D%D0%B0-

%D0%BB%D0%B0%D0%B1%D0%BE%D1%80%D0%B0%D1%82%D0%BE%D1%80%D0%B8 %D1%8F/

49. https://hematology.bg/%D0%BB%D0%B0%D0%B1%D0%BE%D1%80%D0%B0%D1%82%D0
%BE%D1%80%D0%B8%D1%8F-%D0%BF%D0%BE-

%D1%86%D0%B8%D1%82%D0%BE%D0%B3%D0%B5%D0%BD%D0%B5%D1%82%D0%B8% D0%BA%D0%B0-%D0%B8-%D0%BC%D0%BE%D0%BB%D0%B5%D0%BA%D1%83/#

- 50. http://mmcbg.org/bg/index.php?page=about&sub=2&open=2
- 51. https://www.unihosp.com/?menu=structure
- 52. http://www.svetamarina.com/
- 53. http://umbalpleven.com/
- 54. http://www.genica.bg/





- 55. https://nutrigen.bg/
- 56. https://nmgenomix.com/
- 57. https://www.nadezhda.bg/
- 58. http://www.more-conference.com/documents

